



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/678,407

10/03/2003

Teruhiko Fujisawa

MM4641

9999

7590 06/26/2008
LIEBERSTEIN, EUGENE
WYATT, GERBER, MELLER
& O ROURKE, L.L.P.
99 PARK AVENUE
NEW YORK, NY 10016

EXAMINER

MEHTA, NANCY T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3692

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/26/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/678,407	Applicant(s) FUJISAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner NANCY MEHTA	Art Unit 3692	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) NANCY MEHTA.

(3) ATTY. LIEBERSTEIN.

(2) HARISH DASS.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 19 June 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Nel (2001/0002468) and Alberth Jr. et al. (7206847).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's attorney argued the rejection of claim 1 especially the examiner's interpretation of "second data processing device under the control of the control of the credit card user....storage device" However, the arguments were addressing limitations not stated in the claimed language. The applicant's attorney was requested to submit his arguments in writing. No agreement was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Harish T Dass/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3692

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required